1 (Case called)

2.3

THE CLERK: Counsel, please state your names for the record.

MR. TURNER: Good afternoon, your Honor. George
Turner and Sid Kamaraju for the government.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. SHROFF: Good afternoon, your Honor. For Mr.

Raishani, who is seated to my left, Federal Defenders of New

York, by Sabrina Shroff and Sarah Baumgartel. Also present in

court are Mr. Raishani's family members.

THE COURT: Thank you for being here.

Mr. Raishani, you were previously named in a one-count indictment that was numbered 17 Cr. 421. You were arraigned on that charge before Judge Peck on July 21, 2017. You have now been named in a three-count superseding indictment that is numbered S1 17 Cr. 421.

The purpose of this proceeding is threefold: first, to make sure that you have a copy of that superseding indictment; second, to inform you of the charges against you; and third, to take your plea.

Mr. Raishani, let me first ask, do you understand what's happening in this proceeding today?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Raishani, have you seen a copy of the superseding indictment?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.3

THE COURT: Ms. Shroff, have you had the opportunity to review the superseding indictment and to discuss it with Mr. Raishani?

MS. SHROFF: I have done so, your Honor.

THE COURT: Can I turn to either Mr. Turner or Mr. Kamaraju to summarize the charges on which the defendant is being arraigned today.

MR. TURNER: Yes, your Honor. As the Court mentioned, the superseding indictment adds two counts to the original indictment, Counts Two and Three. Both Counts Two and Three charge the defendant with violations under 18 U.S.C. 2339B, which criminalizes the provision of material support or resources to a designated foreign terrorist organization, in this case ISIS.

Specifically in this case, your Honor, Count Two charges the defendant with conspiring to provide material support or resources to ISIS and Count Three charges the defendant with providing, attempting to provide, and aiding and abetting provision of material support or resources to ISIS.

Both of those counts are based on the defendant's alleged facilitation of another individual's travel abroad to join and fight for ISIS. That individual is referred to as CC1 in the superseding indictment.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Mr. Raishani, you have the right to have me to read the indictment to you loud on the record here now. You can also waive that right. I would be happy to read the indictment to you if you would like. Would you like me to read the indictment to you on the record?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

2.3

THE COURT: Counsel, do you waive formal reading of the indictment?

MS. SHROFF: We do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Raishani, can I ask to please stand. Mr. Raishani, do you understand what it is that you have been charged with?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Count One charges that from at least in or about January 2017 up to and including in or about June 2017 you knowingly and intentionally did attempt to provide "material support or resources" as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. section 2339A(b), including personnel and services to a foreign terrorist organization, namely, the Islamic State of Iraq and al-Sham, which I will define as ISIS.

How do you plead to that count?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

THE COURT: Count Two charges that from at least in or about September 2015 up to and including in or about May 2016 you, with others known and unknown did knowingly and

-

intentionally conspire to provide material support or resources, including personnel, to a foreign terrorist organization, namely ISIS, in violation of 18 U.S.C. section 2339D.

How do you plead to that count?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

2.3

THE COURT: Count Three charges that from at least in or about September 2015 up to and about including in or about May 2016 you, with others known and unknown, did knowingly and intentionally provide and attempt to provide material support of resources, including personnel, to a foreign terrorist organization, namely ISIS, in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. sections 2339(b) and 2.

How do you plead to this count?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Raishani. Your pleas of not guilty are accepted. You can be seated.

Counsel, thank you for being here. Is there anything else that we should take up while we are all here? Counsel for the United States?

MR. TURNER: Your Honor, we can provide the Court with a brief update regarding the status of discovery, and perhaps the Court would be inclined to set some dates or a schedule.

We produced discovery on a rolling basis following the initial pretrial conference in this case, which was held on July 13th.

H9e Case 1:17-cr-00421-RA Document 16 Filed 10/10/17 Page 6 of 9

2.3

Rule 16 discovery is currently complete, your Honor. I do recall at the initial pretrial conference your Honor did schedule today's date as a status conference to take up potentially issues of scheduling.

THE COURT: Counsel for Mr. Raishani, first, can I hear your views regarding the government's assessment of the discovery in the case. Then I would like to hear your views regarding appropriate next steps.

MS. SHROFF: Your Honor, I'm assuming that if the government says they are producing discovery on a rolling basis, they are. I have no reason to doubt that. We did receive a production on August 31st. Mr. Turner may correct me if I'm wrong, but I think on August 31st I got an email saying that a new production had been made and a copy had been sent to the MCC. It is a voluminous production.

Ms. Baumgartel has recently just finished a trial.

I'm starting trial -- in fact, my trial was just moved up this morning by Judge Berman -- so I have not waded my way through that discovery. As I informed the government, we are simply not ready at this point to set a motion schedule. I'm sure the Court knows that Ms. Baumgartel and I would not drag our feet for any reason.

Mr. Raishani still has not received the discovery at the MCC in a way that he can start reviewing it. Mr. Fisher from our office, who is part of the management information

H9e Case 1:17-cr-00421-RA Document 16 Filed 10/10/17 Page 7 of 9

2.3

systems and in charge of electronic discovery, is working to adapt the discovery into a format whereby Mr. Raishani can actually begin reviewing it.

We ask the Court to allow us to come back in 45 days to inform you as to what motions we may or may not have in this matter. It would not be prudent of us to agree to set a motion schedule at this time because motions are dependent on discovery. It would be ill-advised for Ms. Baumgartel and me to say that we do or do not have motions without completely reviewing the material.

I need not elaborate on the seriousness of these charges. The Court is well aware that Mr. Raishani faces severe penalties should he be convicted. Cases such as this need time. If the Court wishes, we can also let you know in an ex parte letter the other steps that our office, in order to provide effective counsel, would need additional time. We are happy to do that as well. But considering the nature of the discovery, the volume of the discovery, the fact that our client is incarcerated, that I'm starting a month-long trial on United States v. Rahimi before Judge Berman, I ask you to please give me the 45 days.

THE COURT: Counsel for the United States, what is your view regarding the defendant's request?

MR. TURNER: Your Honor, we don't have any objection to that request.

THE COURT: Good. I'm going to grant the defendant's request. I will set a date approximately 45 days from now for our next conference. At that time I would ask counsel for Mr. Raishani to come prepared to tell me what motions Mr. Raishani is contemplating, if any. I will then set a motion schedule, a hearing schedule if necessary, and possibly a trial date.

Mr. Daniels, would you please propose a date.

THE CLERK: Monday, October 30th, at 4 p.m. in the afternoon.

THE COURT: Counsel, does that date and time work for each of you?

MR. TURNER: Yes, your Honor.

MS. SHROFF: That should be fine, your Honor. I'm assuming my trial will be over.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you very much.

Does the United States have an application?

MR. TURNER: We do, your Honor. We would move for the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act between today's date and the date of October 30th set by the Court for the next conference. We submit that the request for exclusion would serve the interests of justice because, among other reasons, it will allow defendants to continue reviewing discovery produced by the government and to determine what, if any, motions the defense wishes to file.

THE COURT: What is the position of the defendant

25